EXHIBIT N-1

```
ANDRÉ BIROTTE JR.
     United States Attorney
     ROBERT E. DUGDALE
     Assistant United States Attorney
     Chief, Criminal Division
     LISA E. FELDMAN (Cal. Bar No. 130019)
     Assistant United States Attorney
     Cyber & Intellectual Property Crimes Section
  5
          1200 United States Courthouse
          312 North Spring Street
          Los Angeles, California 90012
Telephone: (213) 894-0633
  6
          Facsimile: (213) 894-0141
  7
          E-mail:
                      lisa.feldman@usdoj.gov
  8
     Attorneys for Plaintiff
     UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
  9
 10
                          UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 11
                     FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 12
    UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
                                      No. CR 12-1014-ABC
13
              Plaintiff,
                                        GOVERNMENT'S POSITION SUPPORTING
                                        REVOCATION OF BOND; EXHIBITS
14
15
                                        HEARING DATE:
                                                       7-8-13
    JOHN WINSTON BOONE,
                                        HEARING TIME:
                                                       1:30 p.m.
      aka "John Smith."
                                                       Courtroom of the
                                        Location:
16
      aka "Justin Winabali,"
                                                       Hon. Audrey B.
      aka "John Greene,"
                                                       Collins
17
      aka "John King,"
      dba "HS Consortium, Inc.,"
18
      dba "American Blog, Inc.,"
      dba "Great Ideas, LLC,"
19
20
             Defendant.
21
         Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel
22
    of record, the United States Attorney for the Central District of
23
    California and Assistant United States Attorney Lisa E. Feldman,
24
    hereby files its brief in support of revocation of bond.
25
         This brief is based upon the attached memorandum of points and
26
    authorities, the attached exhibits1, the files and records in this
27
28
         1 As noted below, with the exception of Exhibits 5 and 9, all of
   the attached exhibits are true and correct copies of documents that
```

Case 2:12-cr-01014-ODW Document 67-2 Filed 11/05/14 Page 2 of 55 Page ID #:640 Case 2:12-cr-01014-ODW Document 23 Filed 07/02/13 Page 1 of 12 Page ID #:106

	Case 2:12-cr-01014-ODW Document 67-2 Filed 11/05/14 Page 3 of 55 Page ID #:641
	Case 2:12-cr-01014-ODW Document 23 Filed 07/02/13 Page 2 of 12 Page ID #:107
	1 case, and such further evidence and argument as the Court may
:	permit.
:	Dated: July 2, 2013 Respectfully submitted,
4	ANDRÉ BIROTTE JR.
5	
7	Assistant United States Attorney
. ,	
9	1s/ Malillana
10	
11	Attorneys for Plaintiff UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	have been previously bates-stamped and produced to defendant during
	The state of the s
26	discovery in this federal case (Exhibits 2-4 and 6-8) or are pleadings filed by the Court (Exhibit 1). Exhibits 5 and 9 are true
26 27 28	discovery in this federal case (Exhibits 2-4 and 6-8) or are

Case 2:12-cr-01014-ODW Document 67-2 Filed 11/05/14 Page 4 of 55 Page ID #:642

Case 2:12-cr-01014-ODW Document 23 Filed 07/02/13 Page 3 of 12 Page ID #:108

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

I. INTRODUCTION

On October 16, 2012, an indictment was filed charging defendant with nine counts of wire fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1343. The Indictment alleges that defendant engaged in an Internet fraud scheme in which he fraudulently sold website domain names and associated content to the victim-purchasers after providing the victim-purchasers with falsified financial records, including fabricated PayPal records, purportedly reflecting past advertising revenue generated by the websites for sale. The victims of the scheme either wire transferred the funds to accounts controlled by defendant, or paid defendant via cashier's check. Although the Indictment relates to two of the victims who reside in the Central District of California, the evidence shows that defendant's Internet fraud scheme involved a total of approximately 18 victims throughout the United States, and those victims sustained a combined total actual loss of approximately \$1.3 million.

On November 30, 2012, defendant, who lives in the Northern District of California, made his initial appearance in federal court in San Francisco, during which he was released on a \$100,000 appearance bond with various terms, including conditions that he be subject to electronic monitoring at the direction of Pretrial Services and that he shall not access the Internet. (A copy of this order is attached hereto as Exhibit 1).

On June 19, 2013, the Pretrial Services Office in Los Angeles filed a petition with the Court alleging violations of defendant's conditions of release and requesting an Order to Show Cause Hearing to determine if defendant should remain on bond. In her letter to

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

the Court, the Pretrial Services Officer advised that a state search warrant was executed at defendant's residence on June 12, 2013, which revealed that defendant had been using the Internet, and further that defendant has repeatedly violated the rules of his electronic monitoring. In light of these violations and the other information set forth in the letter, the PSO has advised the government that it is recommending that defendant's bond be revoked.

The government strongly concurs with the PSO's recommendation to revoke defendant's bond for an additional significant reason: before defendant was arrested and placed on federal supervision in this case, but after the FBI executed its search warrant at defendant's residence on July 20, 2010, in this case -- and thus, while knowing he was under federal criminal investigation for wire fraud -- defendant is alleged to have embezzled over \$78,000 from his then employer, Ricoh and soon thereafter, after being fired from Ricoh, he allegedly committed another fraud against a subsequent employer, Casto Travel. Equally disturbing, the evidence shows that while knowing he was also under investigation by state authorities for the Ricoh embezzlement, defendant (through his attorney) provided a district attorney's office investigator with a fabricated email purportedly from his then Ricoh supervisor approving the payments he allegedly embezzled. The Santa Clara D.A.'s Office has charged defendant with felony grand theft for the Ricoh embezzlement and also with preparing false documentary evidence for submitting the fabricated email, and has informed the government that the recent search warrant executed at defendant's residence was done in connection with the Casto Travel fraud allegations.

Case 2:12-cr-01014-ODW Document 23 Filed 07/02/13 Page 5 of 12 Page ID #:110

Defendant's prior criminal history of fraud and perjury, his alleged fraudulent conduct even <u>after</u> the FBI search warrant was executed at his residence, and his repeated violations while on federal pretrial release demonstrate defendant's arrogance, lack of remorse, and shocking disregard for the criminal justice system. For all these reasons, the government believes that defendant will continue to violate the terms and conditions of pretrial release and therefore respectfully requests that defendant's bond be revoked.

II. ALTHOUGH PRIOR TO FEDERAL SUPERVISION, THE EVIDENCE SHOWS THAT DEFENDANT COMMITTED MULTIPLE FRAUDS AFTER THE FEDERAL SEARCH WARRANT WAS EXECUTED AT HIS RESIDENCE IN JULY 2010

A. The FBI Search Warrant on July 20, 2010

On July 20, 2010, FBI agents executed a search warrant at defendant's residence in Novato, California. (A copy of the search warrant and inventory is attached hereto as Exhibit 2, BS 170-180¹). The warrant cover page specifically authorizes a search by the "Federal Bureau of Investigation" for "criminal violation(s) of Title 18, United States Code, Section(s) 1343," and the first paragraph of Attachment B specifically states that the statute refers to wire fraud. (Ex. 2, BS 173). The attached "Description of Items To Be Seized" also makes clear that the investigation related to the fraudulent purchase and/or sale of Internet websites and that defendant was the focus of the investigation.²

¹ "BS" refers to the bates-stamp number on the document, indicating it was previously produced in discovery in this case. The attached exhibits have been further redacted for this filing to protect witness names and confidential identification information.

For example, the second paragraph of Attachment B specifically authorizes the seizure of "Items believed to be inside the premises and curtilage, including the person of John Winston Boone," which left no doubt that the investigation focused on defendant. (Exhibit 2, BS 173). The Description of Items to be

Case 2:12-cr-01014-ODW Document 23 Filed 07/02/13 Page 6 of 12 Page ID #:111

(Id.)

Defendant was present and opened the door for the agents when they arrived, and a copy of the search warrant and inventory listing defendant's name was left on a table in the residence. (A copy of the FBI report of the execution of the search warrant is attached hereto Exhibit 3, BS 503-504).

B. Defendant's Alleged Embezzlement of \$78,295 from Employer Ricoh from January 2011 Through July 2011

According to investigative reports prepared by state agents in the Rapid Enforcement Allied Computer Team ("REACT", a regional high tech task force) (copies of which are attached hereto as Exhibits 4 and 6, BS 1062-1077), defendant subsequently committed the following fraud against his then employer, Ricoh Innovations ("Ricoh"): In 2011, while employed as Ricoh's Manager of Information Technology, defendant intercepted legitimate invoices from a company called GoGrid, an outside firm that handled Ricoh's IT services, and replaced them with forged invoices showing a much higher charge, deposited monies paid by Ricoh for the forged invoices into defendant's Bank of America account, paid the legitimate invoices with his own credit card and retained the difference. (Ex. 4, BS 1062-1069).

Seized goes on to specify "the purchase and/or sale of any Internet website between April 2005 to the present" (Item No. 5) and lists defendant's aliases (Item No. 2), defendant's email addresses (Item No. 3), Internet domain names defendant had offered for sale (Item No. 4), websites defendant had used to advertise the sale of such domain names (Item No. 6), and defendant's companies (Item No. 7).

The warrant was filed under seal and thus, a copy of the supporting affidavit was not provided to defendant at that time. (It has since been produced to defendant in discovery.)

Case 2:12-cr-01014-ODW Document 23 Filed 07/02/13 Page 7 of 12 Page ID #:112

1 2

In reviewing documents obtained by Bank of America, Ricoh, and GoGrid, the investigating task force agent determined that:

• Defendant had opened a Bank of America business checking account as "Social Media, Inc.Org" doing business as "GoGrid.Org"; two credit cards were associated with the account, including a credit card ending in 2349.

• Between January 12, 2011 and July 12, 2011, GoGrid sent to Ricoh legitimate invoices totaling \$16,487.77, showing its true address. Given his role at Ricoh, these invoices came to defendant's desk. Defendant paid these invoices with his Visa card ending in 2349.

• Between January 12, 2011 and July 28, 2011, defendant submitted forged invoices to Ricoh showing the GoGrid name, but instead listing an address on Chestnut Street in San Francisco that defendant controlled ("defendant's Chestnut Street address"). Based on these invoices, Ricoh (unwittingly) issued checks for these invoices payable to GoGrid and reflecting defendant's Chestnut Street address, totaling \$94,783.37, which were sent to defendant's Chestnut Street address and deposited into defendant's GoGrid.Org account at Bank of America.

• The difference between the two (i.e. what GoGrid actually billed Ricoh and what Ricoh paid GoGrid through defendant) is \$78,295.60 (the amount of defendant's

(Ex. 4, BS 1066-1069, 1074).

embezzlement).

C. On June 26, 2012, Defendant (Through his Attorney)
Provided Santa Clara D.A. Investigator with Fabricated
Email During Criminal Investigation of Ricoh Embezzlement

Defendant was terminated by Ricoh in August 2011 and evidence shows that REACT task force agents began investigating the Ricoh embezzlement in approximately November 2011. Defendant acknowledged he took the money, but he claimed that he was operating a consulting firm and that the difference in the amounts (\$78,285) was his "consulting fee." (Ex. 4, BS 1063).

Case 2:12-cr-01014-ODW Document 23 Filed 07/02/13 Page 8 of 12 Page ID #:113

On November 4 and November 8, 2011, Task Force Agent ("TFA") Nick Muyo left messages for defendant's attorney regarding the Ricoh case. (Ex. 4, BS 1066). On November 22, 2011, TFA Muyo received a return call from defendant's new attorney, and during the call, the agent summarized the Ricoh criminal investigation and stated he believed there was good documentary evidence of the crime. (Id.)

On January 31, 2012, defendant's attorney called TFA Muyo and advised he had located a possible defense witness in the case. (Ex 4, BS 1067). On March 16, 2012, the defense attorney called TFA Muyo and provided the name and phone number of the witness, who the agent thereafter interviewed (but who did not support defendant's claim). (Ex. 4, BS 1068-69).

On June 26, 2012, defendant's attorney wrote a letter to Hung
Ledang, an Investigator at the Santa Clara District Attorney's
Office, stating that the payments were consulting fees to defendant
and that this subcontracting arrangement "was authorized by
individuals who were in the management of Ricoh at the time the
arrangements were made." (A copy of counsel's letter with
enclosures is attached hereto as Exhibit 5). Among other documents,
the letter enclosed a copy of an email, dated December 6, 2010, from
defendant's then-supervisor at Ricoh, purportedly approving the
consulting fee arrangement. The email, containing the Subject line
"GOGRID SUPPORT," stated:

⁴ This document was recently obtained from the Santa Clara District Attorney's Office and has not been previously produced in this case.

Case 2:12-cr-01014-ODW Document 23 Filed 07/02/13 Page 9 of 12 Page ID #:114

John,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

26

As agreed in the last DC selection meeting, we do not have the resources in house to manage the entire cloud infrastructure. As such, the Gogrid consulting option is approved. We still need an option to manage the online infrastructure 24/7.

Let's review your hiring plan during staff meeting next week.

I will be traveling back to Asia for three weeks so let's move quickly.

[first name redacted]

[first name redacted] Whitsett Ricoh-EPT Director of Operations 2077 Gateway Place, Suite 200 San Jose, CA 95110 [phone number redacted]

(Ex. 5, DA BS 448; bold emphasis added)⁵.

However, as set forth in a supplemental investigative report, task force agents later determined from interviews with Whitsett and Ricoh IT staff that the email was fabricated and apparently cobbled together from earlier emails Whitsett had sent defendant; indeed, Whitsett was already in Asia on December 6, 2010. (A copy of the supplemental report is attached hereto as Exhibit 6, BS 1075-1077).

⁵ This is the bates-stamp used by the DDA; this document was recently obtained by the government and not previously produced.

⁶ Whitsett stated that he did not give defendant permission to be a consultant. Whitsett reviewed the email and said that although the header was correct, at the time the email was allegedly sent to Boone on December 6, 2010, Whitsett was already in Asia; he had left on November 28, 2010 and did not return until December 9, 2010. (Ex. 6, BS 1076). In addition, Ricoh IT stated that all of Whitsett's "sent emails" for seven months prior to January 2011 were deleted, and that it did not find the email in either Whitsett's sent folder or defendant's inbox, and the email was not in the server archives for either Whitsett or defendant and it was not in the archives in Whitsett's laptop, even though there were other emails from that date located. (Ex. 6, 1076-1077).

D. <u>Defendant's Alleged Fraud Against Subsequent Employer</u> Casto Travel in December 2011

The evidence shows that after being terminated from Ricoh, defendant continued to commit fraud, this time against his new employer, Casto Travel. In a lengthy civil complaint filed by Casto Travel on January 9, 2013 (a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit 7), Casto alleges the following, among many other things:

On October 3, 2011, Casto hired defendant as its Vice President of Information. In this role, he was assigned to revamp the Singles Travel Company website by hiring the best and most cost effective web design service to do the job. Defendant represented that he had retained a company called "Social Media Design Group" for the job and on or about December 1, 2011, defendant submitted an \$11,500 invoice to Casto to pay Social Media Design Group for the work.

Defendant said he would deliver the check to a representative of Social Media Design Group. (Ex. 7, BS 2152-2153).

Casto issued a check payable to Social Media Inc.Org, the payee designated by defendant for the purported services — and the exact account name of defendant's Bank of America business checking account used in the Ricoh fraud, as referenced above. (Ex. 7, BS 2153-2154). Unbeknownest to Casto, defendant had created the forged invoice, he was the owner of Social Media Design Group, and he deposited the forged check into his Bank of America account. (Id.) Equally significant, defendant allegedly submitted the forged invoice in December 2011, i.e. the month after his defense attorney spoke with TFA Muyo on November 22, 2011 about the Ricoh criminal investigation and thus, defendant must have known by then that he was being criminally investigated for the Ricoh embezzlement. (Ex.

Case 2:12-cr-01014-ODW Document 23 Filed 07/02/13 Page 11 of 12 Page ID #:116

7, BS 2158; Ex. 4, BS 1066). While the Casto allegations are civil, it is also significant that the alleged conduct is similar to the conduct alleged in the Ricoh felony charges (i.e. forged invoices), as well as the federal case (forged PayPal records), and the same bank account was used to deposit the illegal proceeds. Moreover, the Santa Clara District Attorney's Office has advised the government that the search warrant executed at defendant's residence on June 12, 2013 was in connection with a criminal investigation relating to the Casto allegations.

E. State Charges Filed in Connection with Ricoh Fraud

On April 25, 2012, the Santa Clara District Attorney's Office filed a three-count felony criminal complaint charging defendant with grand theft, forgery and using personal identifying information without authorization. (A copy of the felony complaint, obtained from the Santa Clara District Attorney's Office, is attached hereto as Exhibit 8, BS 1078-1079.)

On or about March 20, 2013, the Santa Clara District Attorney's Office filed a felony information adding new charges, including Count 15 charging the crime of "preparing false documentary evidence" between June 20, 2012 and October 12, 2012, relating to the creation of the fraudulent Ricoh email submitted to the D.A. Investigator during the course of its criminal investigation. (A copy of the 15-count information is attached hereto as Exhibit 9.)

The Santa Clara District Attorney's Office has advised the government that defendant was not arrested on the criminal complaint until on or about July 17, 2012, so defendant may not have been aware that the complaint had been filed when he sent the fabricated email. Nevertheless, there is no doubt he was aware of the Santa Clara criminal investigation being conducted and indeed, he provided the email to his attorney in connection with that investigation.

Cape 2:12-cr-01014-ODW Document 67-2 Filed 11/05/14 Page 13 of 55 Page ID #:651

Case 2:12-cr-01014-ODW Document 23 Filed 07/02/13 Page 12 of 12 Page ID #:117

III. CONCLUSION

The government believes that based on the attached exhibits, the evidence shows that after the federal search warrant was executed at defendant's residence in July 2010, he has committed a pattern of fraud against two employers and the Santa Clara District Attorney's Office. The evidence also shows that some of this fraud was committed while defendant was also aware that he was the subject of a criminal investigation relating to the Ricoh case. Defendant's repeated violations of pretrial release in his federal case as outlined by the violation letter from Pretrial Services, in light of his pattern of fraudulent conduct as described above and his prior criminal history, shows a shocking disregard for the criminal justice system and therefore, the government respectfully requests

that defendant's bond be revoked.

15 Dated: July 2, 2013

Respectfully submitted,

ANDRÉ BIROTTE JR. United States Attorney

ROBERT E. DUGDALE

Assistant United States Attorney

Chief, Criminal Division

LISA E. FELDMAN

Assistant United States Attorney

Attorneys for Plaintiff UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Case 2:12-cr-01014-ODW Document 23-1 Filed 07/02/13 Page 1 of 46 Page ID #:118

EXHIBIT 1

Case 2:12-cr-01014-ODW Document 23-1 Filed 07/02/13 Page 2 of 46 Page ID #:119	
Case 2:12-cr-01014-ABC Document 6 Filed 12/03/12 Page 6 of 7 Page ID #:21	
DATE / CASE NUMBER	
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA ORDER SETTING CONDITIONS OF RELEASE AND APPERARNCE BOND 11/20/12 3-12-71265	
NAME OF DEFENDANT TELEPHONE NUMBER	
JOHN MINSTON DOONE	
NAME OF SURETY RELATIONSHIP TO DEFENDANT ADDRESS OF SURETY 0 2012 TELEPHONE NUMBER	
NAME OF CUSTODIAN RELATIONSHIP TO DEFENDANT ADDRESS OF CHERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
AMOUNT OF BOND NISECURED SECURED BY DEPOSIT RECEIVED OTHER SECURITY POSTED TIME/DATE OF NEXT APPEARANCE COURTROOM/JUL	GE
\$ 00,000 s RECEIVED FROM:	n
CONDITIONS OF RELEASE AND APPEARANCE	
Defendant shall appear at all proceedings as ordered by the Court and shall surrender for service of any sentence imposed. Defendant shall not commit any federal, state, or local crime. Defendant shall not herass, threaten, intimidate, injure, tamper with, or retaliate against any witness, victim, informant, juror, or officer of the Court, or obstruary oriminal investigation. See 18 U.S.C. 1503, 1510, 1512, and 1513, on reverse side. Defendant shall not travel outside the Northern District of California, that is, these counties; Alameda, Contra Costa, Del Norte, Humboldt, Lake, Marin, Mendocino, Monterey, Napa, San Benito, San Francisco, San Mateo, Sania Clara, Santa Cruz, and Sonoma. See map on reverse side. Defendant shall report in person immediately upon release and thereafter as directed to Pretrial Services in AN TRANCISCO See addresses and telephone numbers on reverse side. Defendant shall surrender all passports and visas to Pretrial Services by	3.
DEFENDANT SHALL APPEAR ON 121 + 12 at 1:00 pm in the Duly MAGISTRATE COURT OF the RUYBAL FEDERAL BLDG., 255 EAST TEMPLE, 3RD FL., Los ANGELES, CA Defendant shall contribute to the cost of services provided by Pretrial Services as directed by Pretrial Services.	•
CONSEQUENCES OF DEFENDANT'S FAILURE TO OBEY CONDITIONS OF RELEASE	
Payment of the full amount of this bond shall be due forthwith, and all cash or property posted to secure it shall be forfeited. Judgment may be entered and executed against defendant and all sureties jointly and severally. An arrest warrant for defendant shall issue immediately, and defendant may be detained without bail for the rest of the proceedings. Defendant shall be subject to consecutive sentences and fines for failure to appear and/or for committing an offense while on release. See 18 U.S.C. 3146 and 0147, on reverse side. We, the undersigned, have read and understand the terms of this bond and acknowledge that we are bound by it until duly exonerated. SIGNATURE OF DEFENDANT SIGNATURE(e) OF SURETY(lee) SIGNATURE(e) OF SURETY(lee)	•
THIS ORDER AUTHORIZES THE MARSHAL TO RELEASE DEFENDANT FROM CUSTODY.	
1-CLERK OF COURT-WHITE COPY	٠

Case 2:12-cr-01014-ODW Document 67-2 Filed 11/05/14 Page 15 of 55 Page ID #:653

Case 2:12-cr-01014-ODW Document 23-1 Filed 07/02/13 Page 3 of 46 Page ID #:120.

EXHIBIT 2

Case 2:12-cr-01014-ODW Document 23-1 Filed 07/02/13 Page 4 of 46 Page ID #:121

AO 93 (Rev. 5/85) Search Warrant	
	ted States District Court
NORTHERN	DISTRICT OFCALIFORNIA
In the Matter of the Search of (Name, address or brief description of the person or prope	and to the search of li
3 Maybeck Street, Novato, California	SEARCH WARRANT
	CASE NUMBER:
	UNDERSEAL 3 10 70638 MEJ
TO: Federal Bureau of Investigation	and any Authorized Officer of the United States
Affidavit(s) having been made before me	Special Agent Jonathan S. Holmes who has reason to Afflant
believe that on the person of or	on the premises known as (name, description and/or location) as further described in Attachment A
in the <u>Northern</u> concealed a certain person or property, n	District of California there is now amely (describe the person or property)
See Attachment B	
which constitutes evidence, fruits, and ins	etrumentalities It selzure set forth under Rufe 41(a) of the Federal Rufe of Criminel Procedure)
of a criminal violation(s) of Title 18 am satisfied that the affidavit(s) and any reconsided each become of the person or varrant. OU ARE HEREBY COMMANDED to search or search	, United States Code, Section(s) 1343 d testimony establish probable cause to believe that the person or property r premises above-described and establish grounds for the issuance of this on or before
naking the search (in the daytime6:00 A.M. to as been established), and if the person or prop	med above for the person or property specified, serving this warrant and or 10:00 P.M.) (at any time in the day or night as I find reasonable cause perty be found there to selze same, leaving a copy of this warrant and specified and promotive to selze same.
(U.S	o, anage or retagnstant anage
1/9/10 @ /60/ ate,and Time Issued	at San Francisco, CA
ate, and Time Issued	City and State
Nandor J. Vadas U.S. Magistrate Judge	American Brancher
ame and Title of Judicial Officer	Signature of Judicial Officer

EXHBIT 2

Case 2:12-cr-01014-ODW Document 67-2 Filed 11/05/14 Page 18 of 55 Page ID #:656

Case 2:12-cr-01014-ODW Document 23-1 Filed 07/02/13 Page 5 of 46 Page ID #:122

DATE AND TIME WARRANT EXECUTED COPY OF WARRANT AND RECEIPT FOR ITEMS LEFT WI INVENTORY MADE IN THE PRESENCE OF INVENTORY OF PERSON OR PROPERTY TAKEN PURSUANT TO THE WARRANT CERTIFICATION I swear that this inventory is true and detailed account of the person or property taken by me on the arrant.		RETU		÷
CERTIFICATION I swear that this inventory is true and detailed account of the person or property taken by me on the treat.	DATE WARRANT RECEIVED	DATE AND TIME WARRANT EXECUTE	D COPY OF WARRANT AND RECEIF	T FOR ITEMS LEFT WIT
CERTIFICATION I swear that this inventory is true and detailed account of the person or property taken by me on the reant.	NVENTORY MADE IN THE PR	ESENCE OF		
I swear that this inventory is true and detailed account of the person or property taken by me on the trant.	VENTORY OF PERSON OR I	PROPERTY TAKEN PURSUANT TO THE	WARRANT	
I swear that this inventory is true and detailed account of the person or property taken by me on the rrant.			•	
I swear that this inventory is true and detailed account of the person or property taken by me on the rrant.	•	•		
I swear that this inventory is true and detailed account of the person or property taken by me on the rrant.				
I swear that this inventory is true and detailed account of the person or property taken by me on the rrant.				
I swear that this inventory is true and detailed account of the person or property taken by me on the rrant.	•			
I swear that this inventory is true and detailed account of the person or property taken by me on the trant.			• • • •	
I swear that this inventory is true and detailed account of the person or property taken by me on the rrant.				
I swear that this inventory is true and detailed account of the person or property taken by me on the rrant.				•
I swear that this inventory is true and detailed account of the person or property taken by me on the rrant.				
I swear that this inventory is true and detailed account of the person or property taken by me on the rrant.				•
I swear that this inventory is true and detailed account of the person or property taken by me on the trant.	•			
I swear that this inventory is true and detailed account of the person or property taken by me on the rrant.	,			
I swear that this inventory is true and detailed account of the person or property taken by me on the rrant.				•
I swear that this inventory is true and detailed account of the person or property taken by me on the rrant.				2
I swear that this inventory is true and detailed account of the person or property taken by me on the trant.			•	
I swear that this inventory is true and detailed account of the person or property taken by me on the trant.				
I swear that this inventory is true and detailed account of the person or property taken by me on the trant.			•	
I swear that this inventory is true and detailed account of the person or property taken by me on the rrant.		•		
I swear that this inventory is true and detailed account of the person or property taken by me on the rrant.		•		•
I swear that this inventory is true and detailed account of the person or property taken by me on the rrant.		•		
I swear that this inventory is true and detailed account of the person or property taken by me on the rrant.				
I swear that this inventory is true and detailed account of the person or property taken by me on the rrant.				
rrant.				li
	I swear that this inversant.	entory is true and detailed accoun	t of the person or property taken	by.me on the
bcribed, sworn to, and returned before me this date.				•
Marie Marie (1971) 1971 (1971) 1971 (1971) 1971 (1971) 1971 (1971) 1971 (1971) 1971 (1971) 1971 (1971) 1971 (19	horlbed, sworn to, and ref	turned before me this date.		
	manufacturity and arrest for			
U.S. Judge or Magistrate Date		the but a second	Indo	Date

ATTACHMENT A

DESCRIPTION OF PREMISES TO BE SEARCHED

103 Maybeck Street, Novato, California, 94949

The premises to be searched is 103 Maybeck Street, Novato, California 94949. The premises is a single family detached residence that is gray in color with white trim. The residence is located on Maybeck Street in Novato, California. The residence has a single attached garage. The garage door is located in the front of the residence facing a northbound direction. The address number "103" is located above and to the left of the garage door if the viewer is facing the house. The front door of the residence is located to the right of the garage door if the viewer if facing the residence. The front door is dark in color, with four glass windows located near the top of the door, and also faces a northern direction. The residence has a single step up to the front door.

The area to be searched includes the premises described above, including rooms, attics, basements, porches, locked containers and safes, and other parts therein, as well as the surrounding grounds and driveway, any garages, carports, storage rooms, storage lockers, trash containers, and outbuildings specifically associated with, or assigned to, the premises described above, and any vehicles parked on the property or in the driveway specifically associated with, or assigned to, the premises, as well as the person of John Winston Boone.

б

ATTACHMENT B

DESCRIPTION OF ITEMS TO BE SEIZED:

Evidence, fruits, and instrumentalities of violations of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1343 (wire fraud) including:

Items believed to be inside the premises and curtilage, including the person of John Winston Boone, located at 103 Maybeck Street, Novato, California 94949, described in Attachment A:

- 1. All computers, cellular telephones, Blackberry devices, or any other electronic device which may be used to connect to the Internet and send or receive emails (all of which are suspected to constitute instrumentalities of the crime), including, but not limited to, a Gateway laptop computer;
- 2. All records, documents, and materials relating to "John Smith," "Justin Winabali" and "John Greene;"
- 3. All records, documents, and materials relating to <u>jsmithsites@gmail.com</u>, <u>thegreatsites@gmail.com</u>, <u>topgreatideas@gmail.com</u>, <u>sell.website@gmail.com</u> and <u>john@americanblog.com</u>;
- 4. All records, documents, and materials related to www.financeblog.org, www.financeblog.org, www.financeblog.org, www.financeblog.org, www.financeblog.org, www.financeblog.org, www.americanblog.org, www.financeblog.org, www.financeblog.org,
- 5. All records, documents, and materials relating to the purchase and/or sale of any Internet website between April 2005 to the present;
- 6. All records, documents, and materials relating to www.BizbuySell.com or www.BizBen.com;
- 7. All records, documents, and materials relating to or reflecting Paypal records generated between April 2005 and the present;
- 8. All records, documents, and materials relating to American Blog, HS Consortium, and Great Idea(s);
- 9. All currency, including but not limited to US Currency and European Currency, in excess of \$1,000;

- 10. All records, documents, and materials showing control, possession, custody, dominion or other indicia of occupancy over the SUBJECT PREMISES, or digital media found in the SUBJECT PREMISES, including but not limited to: personal mail, checkbooks, personal identification, personal effects, notes, other correspondence, utility and other bills, internet service provider documents, letters, rent receipts, mortgage and loan documents, financial documents, vehicle registration information or ownership warranties, keys, or photographs (developed or undeveloped); and
- 11. For the time period April 2005 to the present: Bank account records, wire transfer records, bank statements, safe deposit box keys and records, money containers, financial records and notes showing payments, credits, debits, receipts, concealment, transfer, or movement of money generated from the fraudulent sale of websites.
- 12. Any and all computers, computer hardware, electronic or magnetic storage devices, such as floppy diskettes, hard disks, backup tapes, CD-ROMs, CD-Rs, CD-RWs, DVD-ROMs, DVD-Rs, DVD-Rs, DVD-Rws, DVD-
- 13. Hardware and software operating manuals, tape systems and hard drive and other computer related operation equipment, digital cameras, scanners, computer photographs, printouts of computer photographs, Graphic interchange formats and/or photographs, and other visual depictions of such Graphic interchange formats (including, but not limited to, JPG, GIF, TIF, AVI and MPEG); notations of any passwords that may control access to a computer operating system or individual computer files;
- 14. Any input/output peripheral devices, including but not limited to passwords, data security devices and related documentation;
- 15. Any network devices to include routers and switches, which are assigned a Media Access Control (MAC) address, a unique number associated with a network adapter and is unique to each computer. A MAC address is burned onto the router during manufacturing and is thus impossible to remove or change. Each MAC address is 12 characters in length, the first six characters contain the ID number of the manufacturer, and the last six numbers represent the serial numbers assigned to the adapter by the manufacturer.

The terms "records," "documents," and "materials" include all of the items

1

Definitions Applying to Search and Seizure of Computers

3

a. Records, Documents and Materials

described in this Attachment in whatever form and by whatever means they may

have been created and/or stored. This includes handmade, photographic,

5 6

4

mechanical, electrical, electronic (including e-mail, computer files, Internet histories, bookmarks and all other electronic items that may be found on computer hardware in any form), and/or magnetic forms. It also includes items in the form of computer hardware, computer software, computer documentation, passwords,

8

7

10

11_.

13

15. 16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

b. Computer Hardware

and/or data security devices.

Computer hardware consists of all equipment which can collect, analyze, create, display, convert, store, conceal, or transmit electronic, magnetic, optical, or similar computer impulses or data. This includes any data-processing devices (such as central processing units, memory typewriters, and self-contained "laptop" or "notebook" computers); internal and peripheral storage devices (such as fixed disks, external hard disks, floppy disk drives and diskettes, tape drives and tapes, optical storage devices, transistor-like binary devices, and other memory storage devices); peripheral input/output devices (such as keyboards, printers, scanners, plotters, video display monitors, and optical readers); related communication devices (such as routers, modems, cables, and connections, recording equipment, RAM or ROM units, acoustic couplers, automatic dialers, speed dialers, programmable telephone dialing or signaling devices, and electronic tone-generating devices); as well as any devices, mechanisms, or parts that can be used to restrict access to computer hardware (such as physical keys and locks).

c. Computer Software

Computer software is digital information which can be interpreted by a Computer and any of its related components to direct the way it works. Software is stored in electronic, magnetic, optical, or other digital form. It commonly includes programs to run operating systems, applications (like word-processing, graphics, or spreadsheet programs, utilities, compilers, interpreters, and communications programs).

d. Computer-related Documentation

Computer-related documentation consists of written, recorded, printed, or electronically stored material which explains or illustrates how to configure or use computer hardware, software, or other related items.

Case 2:12-cr-01014-ODW Document 23-1 Filed 07/02/13 Page 10 of 46 Page ID #:127

e. Computer Passwords and Other Data Security Devices

 Computer passwords and other data security devices are designed to restrict access to or hide computer software, documentation, or data. Data security devices may consist of hardware, software, or other programming code. A password (a string of alpha-numeric characters) usually operates as a sort of digital key to "unlock" particular data security devices. Data security hardware may include encryption devices, chips, and circuit boards. Data security software or digital code may include programming code that creates "test" keys or "hot" keys, which perform certain pre-set security functions when touched. Data security software or code may also encrypt, compress, hide, or "booby-trap" protected data to make it inaccessible or unusable, as well as reverse the process to restore it.

Case 2:12-cr-01014-ODW Document 23-1 Filed 07/02/13 Page 11 of 46 Page ID #:128

ATTACHMENT C

POST-CDT PROTOCOL FOR SEARCHING DEVICES OR MEDIA THAT STORE DATA ELECTRONICALLY

- 1. In executing this warrant, the government must begin by ascertaining whether all or part of a search of a device or media that stores data electronically (collectively, the "device") that is authorized by this warrant reasonably can be completed at the site within a reasonable time. If the search reasonably can be completed on site, the government will remove the device from the site only if authorized by law because removal is (1) necessary to preserve evidence, or (2) if the item is contraband, a forfeitable instrumentality of the crime, or fruit of crime.
- 2. If the government determines that a reasonable search as authorized in this warrant cannot be completed at the site within a reasonable period, the government must determine whether all or part of the authorized search can be completed by making a mirror image of, or in some other manner duplicating, the contents of the device and then completing the search of the mirror image off site (e.g., at a computer crime laboratory).
- 3. The government may remove from the search location a device only if the device cannot be searched reasonably on site, or by mirror-imaging or otherwise duplicating its contents for off site examination unless authorized by law to remove the device because (1) removing the device is necessary to preserve evidence, or (2) the device is contraband, a forfeitable instrumentality of the crime, or fruit of crime. The government also may remove from the site any related equipment (e.g., keyboards or printers) or documents (e.g., system operating or software manuals) that reasonably appear to be necessary to conduct an off-site search of a device in which data is stored electronically.
- 4. If the government removes a device or related equipment or documents from the place they were found in order to complete the search off-site, within ten calendar days of the removal the government must file a return with a magistrate judge that identifies with particularity the removed device or related equipment or documents.

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

- 5. The government must complete an off-site search of a device that agents removed in order to search for evidence of crime, as promptly as practicable and no later than 30 calendar days after the initial execution of the warrant. The government must complete an off-site search of any mirror image of any device in which data is stored electronically as promptly as practicable and no later than 120 calendar days after the initial execution of the warrant. Within thirty calendar days after completing an off-site search of a device pursuant to this warrant, the government must return any device, as well as any related equipment or document that was removed from the site in order to complete the search, unless, under the law, the government may retain the device, equipment, or document (1) to preserve evidence, or (2) because the device, equipment, or document is contraband, a forfeitable instrumentality of the crime, or fruit of crime. Within a reasonable period, not to exceed sixty calendar days after completing the authorized search of a device or image, the government also must use reasonable efforts to destroy - and to delete from any devices or storage media or copies that if has retained or made copies of any data that are outside the scope of the warrant but that were copied or accessed during the search process, unless, under the law, the government may retain the copies (1) to preserve evidence, or (2) because the copies are contraband, a forfeitable instrumentality of the crime, or fruit of crime. The deadlines set forth in this paragraph may be extended by court order for good cause shown.
- 6. In conducting the search authorized by this warrant, whether on site or off site, the government must make all reasonable efforts to use methods and procedures that will locate and expose only those categories of files, documents, or other electronically stored information that are identified with particularity in the warrant while, to the extent reasonably practicable, minimizing exposure or examination of irrelevant, privileged, or confidential files.
- 7. The terms of this warrant do not limit or displace any person's right to file a motion for return of property under F.R.Cr.P. 41(g). Nor does the issuance of this warrant preclude any person with any interest in any seized item from asking the government to return the item or a copy of it.
- 8. The government must promptly notify the judge who authorized issuance of the search warrant (or, if that judge is unavailable, to the general duty judge) if a dispute arises about rights or interests in any seized or searched item or any data contained in any searched or seized item and that dispute cannot be resolved informally. The government must deliver a copy of this written notification to any person known to assert any such right or interest.
- 9. Except as provided below, all search, review, and/or forensic analysis of the data on any device or mirror image authorized to be searched by this warrant (1) shall be conducted only by law enforcement personnel or other government personnel or retained forensic examiners who are not involved in the investigation of the crimes described in the affidavit (the "filter team"), and (2) shall be conducted using search protocols directed exclusively to the identification, segregation and extraction of data within the scope of this warrant. The filter team will not communicate to the law enforcement personnel, retained examiners and experts, prosecutors and any others involved in the investigation of the crimes described in the affidavit (the "investigating team") any information learned during the analysis that is outside the scope of the warrant, but may communicate to the investigating team any information or data that is

Case 2:12-cr-01014-ODW Document 23-1 Filed 07/02/13 Page 13 of 46 Page ID #:130

within the scope of the warrant. In the event that a member of the filter team identifies information pertaining to crimes outside the scope of the warrant, such information will not be disclosed to the investigating team or used in any way absent further judicial authorization or unless a new warrant is obtained to search for such information. A new warrant may be sought by a member of the filter team, if he or she is a sworn federal agent, or by an agent not part of the investigating team. A federal prosecutor apart from the investigating team will be assigned to assist in determining whether to apply for a new warrant and in obtaining such a warrant. Absent a new warrant, the filter team will only search for and seize data that they would be entitled to retain independent of the new information and the investigating team will not use any data outside the scope of this warrant even if found in plain view absent further judicial authorization.

Case 2:12-cr-01014-ODW Document 67-2 Filed 11/05/14 Page 27 of 55 Page ID #:665

Case 2:12-cr-01014-ODW Document 23-1 Filed 07/02/13 Page 14 of 46 Page ID #:131

FD-597 (Rev 8-11-94)

			•
Page	i	of	

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION Receipt for Property Received/Returned/Released/Seized

File # 1965 - LA - 253712

On (date) 7/20/10	item(s) listed below were: Received From Returned To
	Released To
(Name) John Boone	
(Street Address) 103 maybeck	الإجازية المراجعة الم
(City) Novato CA	
Description of Item(s);	
1- John Boone Visa Card - 6702 John King	
2- LG Cell phone and charges - V66100	to the part of the desire of the transfer of the part
3- Deposit Resords "Great Ideas 116"	
4- John/christie Checkbook	- November - And and a state of the set space and it deplets a graph of the Section - And a se
5- Maxtor Harddrive 260 GB UN - BL	12559 EH
6- Western Digital HD SN WXN X08NW Z8	57
7- Torn documents found in track	
Br Various documents and Venizon mail	,
9- DVD associated with American Blog and	often Blage
10- Documents associated with american Blog a.	rd Great Ideas
11 - Documents Associated with Great Ideas 11	d American Blog
12- CDR's associated with Great Ideas	
13- Toshiba laptor 40 SN 89934404P Toshiba L	10 HD SN X 24425547
14. Two (2) Sandish Thumbolives Blackberg	Storm SIV RRW71 Cm
15- Samung Cell SN 297CFFA	e. Der de Mittel de Antoniel de Annouel peng-lik i his sockwarks popularisma (Anhald — de Justing-Springhryad pel his Annouel
16- John Brane Payen 2359 Blackberry 8530 SN	RCLZJOW
17- Downerds Telated to American Blog	P P & Indiana and a both the state of the constant of the constant of the state of the constant of the constan
18- bateway laptop 7320 SN N345171048020	Andrina and provide a constraint of the constraint of the second particle of the constraint of the con
19 - Gateman 1927 DX 200X 24 003676 19 19	nki i iliyang kajuni mat i mamalahanang akaba balapa sayang may bangsaran pandahi da baba kalap pag bangsap bangsap yang
Received By: Received From: WAS NO	r peopert-
(Signature)	(Signature)

Case 2:12-cr-01014-ODW Document 23-1 Filed 07/02/13 Page 15 of 46 Page ID #:132

EXHIBIT 3

Case 2:12-cr-01014-ODW Document 67-2 Filed 11/05/14 Page 29 of 55 Page ID #:667

Case 2:12-cr-01014-ODW Document 23-1 Filed 07/02/13 Page 16 of 46 Page ID #:133

FD-302 (Rev. 10-6-95)

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 07/21/2010

Pursuant to a federal search warrant issued by the Northern District of California authorizing the search for evidence, fruits and instrumentalities showing violations of Title 18, USC 1343 - wire fraud, Special Agents (SAs) of the FBI executed the warrant at Mayneck Street, Novato, CA.

At approximately 7:15 A.M., agents knocked on the door to agents subsequently entered the residence. While securing the residence, agents encountered coinhabitant, Jenny Smith, date of birth and two house guests, and were temporarily staying at the residence while waiting to attend a basketball tournament in Las Vegas, NV. Also present while the residence was secured were two uniformed Novato police officers.

After the residence was secured at approximately 7:22 A.M., entry photos were taken, a sketch depicting the basic layout of the search site was drawn, and a preliminary survey was conducted.

The search began after the entry photos were taken. following is a list of search personnel and their specific duties.

SA Binh Pham - Search, photo log.

SA Jon Sorenson - Search, administrative log.

SA Jon Chinn - Search, Sketch.

SA Chris White, Evidence log.

SA Gabe Gunderson - Search, search team leader.

SA Hans Frank - Search, photographer

SA Don Serrao - Search

SA Mark Hammell - Search

SA Denise Gaztambide - Search

SA Jonathan Holmes - Search

SA Jeffrey Moon - Search

Upon completion of the search, a copy of the search warrant and a copy of the FD-597, listing all items seized from the residence, were left on a table in the residence. Exit photos were taken including a photograph of the FD-597 alongside a copy of the

07/20/2010 at Dublin, California vestigation on

Date dictated Not Dictated SAs Sorenson: js, Chinn, Pham, Frank, Gaztambide, Hammell, Moon,

Gundersen, White, Serrao, Holmes

Case 2:12-cr-01014-ODW Document 67-2 Filed 11/05/14 Page 30 of 55 Page ID #:668

Case 2:12-cr-01014-ODW Document 23-1 Filed 07/02/13 Page 17 of 46 Page ID #:134

FD-302a (Rev. 10-6-95)



Continuation of FD-302 of

Search of 103 Maybeck Street

, On .07/20/2010 , Page

age

search warrant. At approximately 10:25 A.M., all search personnel exited the residence.

A sketch of the residence, not drawn to scale, was made by SA Chinn. Digital photos were taken by SA Frank with the corresponding photo logs recorded by SA Pham. Where it was appropriate, individual evidence items were photographed. All photos (on a CD), photo log, sketch, evidence log, and administrative log, are included in the 1A portion of this case file.

Case 2:12-cr-01014-ODW Document 23-1 Filed 07/02/13 Page 18 of 46 Page ID #:135

EXHIBIT 4



Rapid Enforcement Allied Computer Team (R.E.A.C.T.)

North Office ~ 1650 S. Amphlet Blvd. #213, San Mateo, CA 94402 Phone 650-655-1060 South Office ~ 3130 De La Cruz Blvd. #209, Santa Clara, CA 95054 Phone 408-282-2420

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Case No: 2011-0057

Defendant: BOONE, John Winston

Crime Type: 487(a) P.C.

Suspect:

BOONE, John Winston

Sausalito, CA, 94965 BMA, 6-0, 240, brn-brn

DOB: 42 CDL:

Summary:

During 2011, suspect John Boone, while employed at Ricoh, intercepted legitimate invoices from a company (GoGrid) doing business with Ricoh, replaced them with forged invoices, deposited monies paid by Ricoh for the forged invoices into his Bank of America account, paid the legitimate invoices with his own credit card, and retained the difference.

Investigation:

9/6/2011 Tuesday:

Task Force Supervisor Sgt. Ronnie Lopez assigned me this case.

9/8/2011 Thursday:

At 1004 hours, I drove to the Ricoh company to interview Witness. Bartz. During the interview, he gave me copies of Human Resource (HR) materials and information that suspect Boone gave to HR when he got hired. Bartz pointed out the Chestnut Street address in San Francisco (SF) and said that it looked as though Boone had three different residences, one that possibly belonged to a girlfriend.

Bartz said that when Ricoh learned of the theft by Boone, they had their lawyer draft and send a letter to Boone in an attempt to handle the matter civilly. Bartz said that company executives in Tokyo didn't want it resolved civilly. He said that the letter was sent to Boone's attorney, Leo Cunningham (Refer to the letter, attached). He said that the letter detailed Boone's activities.

Agent: TFA Nick Muyo #30

Page: 1 of 10

Date: 4/11/2012

A STATE OF THE STA

Rapid Enforcement Allied Computer Team (R.E.A.C.T.)

North Office ~ 1650 S. Amphlet Blvd. #213, San Mateo, CA 94402 Prione 650-655-1060 South Office ~ 3130 De La Cruz Blvd. #209, Santa Clara, CA 95054 Phone 408-282-2420

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Case No: 2011-0057

Defendant: BOONE, John Winston

Crime Type: 487(a) P.C.

According to the letter, "... Boone intercepted the legitimate invoices from GoGrid, replaced them with forged invoices, deposited monies paid by Ricoh for the forged invoices in his Bank of America account, paid the legitimate invoices by credit card, and retained the difference."

Bartz continued. Invoices were sent to John Boone from a company called GoGrid. They went directly to Boone's desk. Boone would replace legitimate invoices with altered invoices. He would get a check issued with his Chestnut Street address, made out to GoGrid. They learned that when checks finally got to the bank, the checks were not endorsed. GoGrid admitted to Ricoh that they do not have a Bank of America account. Boone would pay a valid invoice from GoGrid with his (Boone's) credit card number. Bartz knew this to be Boone's credit card because Boone used the same credit card to register domain names.

Bartz said that Ricoh eventually shut down the GoGrid operation. They then checked invoices from GoGrid against what Boone was claiming he received from GoGrid and discovered a difference of \$78,295.60. Bartz said that Ricoh demanded that Boone pay this amount by last Friday (9/2/2011). Bartz said that Ricoh has not been paid by Boone. Bartz said that last week, Boone's attorney contacted Ricoh's attorney, Sld Friedman, and Cunningham acknowledged that Boone did, in fact, take the money. Friedman acknowledged that Boone does not have the money to pay back "right now" and wanted to discuss some kind of payment plan. Bartz said, "We don't want an IOU,"

Boone's position was that he was operating a consulting firm and that the difference in the amounts was his "consulting fee." According to Bartz, "We at least have him acknowledging that he took the money."

Bartz said that there were examples attached in the packet of information he gave to me to illustrate what Boone was doing. He said that there was a payment summary attached. He said that there were twelve (12) events which had faked invoices and the checks that Boone issued to pay them. He said that examples #13-#21 show valid invoices from GoGrid and how they were paid. He said that GoGrid should also be able to show that the invoices were paid with John Boone's credit cards.

Another packet of information highlights events #1-12. Bartz said that an invoice would come to Boone. Boone would then request payment, after signing the invoice. This was called a "vendor request for payment." The packet also contained copies of the checks and included examples of valid Ricoh checks. Bartz said that all of these were physically handed to Boone for payment. None of the checks are endorsed. Bartz said that, in some cases, they have e-mails requesting payment.

Agent: TFA Nick Muyo #30 Page: 2 of 10 Date: 4/11/2012

12 Pase 12 12 Cto 21 Q1 4 Q2 DW, Dage ument 23-1, Filed 67/02/13 Page 21 of 46 Page 12 # 41388



Rapid Enforcement Allied Computer Team (R.E.A.C.T.)

North Office ~ 1650 S. Amphlet Blvd. #213, San Mateo, CA 94402 Phone 650-655-1060 South Office ~ 3130 De La Cruz Blvd. #209, Santa Clara, CA 95054 Phone 408-282-2420

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Case No: 2011-0057

Defendant: BOONE, John Winston

Crime Type: 487(a) P.C.

Bartz said that events #13-21 are copies of material from GoGrid, showing what was paid and what was charged. Bartz said that there were four accounts held with GoGrid. He said that, "We never saw a copy of any contracts with GoGrid. We asked Boone for paperwork and he never showed us any of them (contracts)." Boone was terminated in August 2011. Bartz said that \$15,587 was actually paid to GoGrid (A spreadsheet provided to Ricoh by GoGrid would later show this figure to be \$16,487.77). Bartz said that GoGrid uses Comerica and that they actually prefer getting payments by wire.

I asked Bartz about the connection between Ricoh and GoGrid. He said that Ricoh's IT resources were farmed out to GoGrid. He said that the fees were so expensive, compared to other companies, that they decided to close the GoGrid account. They began using another company. John Boone, Ricoh's manager of IT, was responsible for everything. There was no one to do any check and balances on Boone. Bartz said that Ricoh contacted GoGrid for copies of their contracts (with Ricoh), but they have been reluctant to produce any documents.

I asked Bartz if he had a contact at GoGrid. He said that the name was included in the information packet and that it was a Goodshaw."

Bartz confirmed that Boone knows what's going on. He said that Boone's attorney contacted Ricoh last Tuesday, although Bartz has not talked to Boone since he left. He doesn't know what Ricoh's e-mail storage policy is, but added that he would be happy to get us any e-mail references about GoGrid.

Bartz said that Ricoh believes Cunningham is trying to separate himself from Boone. He said that he had been pushing for a civil resolution, but added again that Japanese executive staff wants a criminal prosecution. He said that after the payment demand deadline passed last Friday, they (executive staff) are happy to pursue/cooperate with a criminal prosecution.

I asked Bartz to explain the domain name issue for me. He said that Ricoh has been in product development on a new item. Ricoh has been trying to protect news of its release. Ricoh wanted to secure domain names in preparation of the product release. Boone came on board around this time and it was Boone who registered the domain names. Boone convinced Ricoh to register the domain names under Boone's name, "so that he could hide them and they wouldn't show as Ricoh domain names. Bartz said that Boone used a company called "Domain by Proxy." This company hides the domain owners' name. In essence then, there would have been no reason for Boone to register the domains under his name, since the owners were protected anyway. Bartz believes that Boone "cyber squats" on domain names. Bartz believes that Boone has over 140 domain names. According to Bartz, Ricoh has a "patent invention agreement" with all of their employees, which means

Agent: TFA Nick Muyo #30

Page: 3 of 10

Date: 4/11/2012



Rapid Enforcement Allied Computer Team (R.E.A.C.T.)

North Office ~ 1650 S. Amphlet Blvd. #213, San Matco, CA 94402 Phone 650-655-1060 South Office ~ 3130 De La Cruz Blvd. #209, Santa Clara, CA 95054 Phone 408-282-2420

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Case No: 2011-0057

Defendant: BOONE, John Winston

Crime Type: 487(a) P.C.

that "anything you create while working at/for Ricoh, belongs to Ricoh. We shouldn't have to pay extra for it."

Bartz said that Ricoh did a background check on Boone. He said that Boone has information in almost every county in the bay area and that there are civil judgments against him. He said that Boone also has a felony perjury conviction. He believes that Symantec corporation fired him.

Bartz said that Boone still has company property in his possession, in the form of two laptops and a hard drive. Boone claims that he left the property at the Decathlon Club, because Ricoh's General Manager is a member there. The General Manager contacted the Decathlon Club but was told that nothing was left there for him.

Bartz said that he would provide me with a list of company property, believed to still be in Bone's possession.

Suspect Background:

Bartz gave me a copy of material from Boone's Human Resource file at Ricoh, information provided to Ricoh by Boone. That information included:

A photocopy of Boone's CDL which lists the identifying information and address shown above;

A photocopy of Boone's Social Security card showing a number of

An "Employee Information Form" lists a home phone of the state of and a home address of 2269 Chestnut Street, Suite 269, San Francisco, CA;

The "Primary Contact" on this form is identified as a "partner" named Jenny C. Smith, living at 1900 a

Jenny C. Smith has listed phone numbers as (work), work), work),

The "Secondary Contact" on this form is identified as an "ex-wife" named Christine Boone, living at "Contact" on this form is identified as an "ex-wife" named Christine

Christine Boone has listed phone numbers as (work); (home); and (cell or pager);

Agent: TFA Nick Muyo #30 Page: 4 of 10 Date: 4/11/2012



Rapid Enforcement Allied Computer Team (R.E.A.C.T.)

North Office - 1650 S. Amphlet Blvd. #213, San Mateo, CA 94402 Phone 650-655-1060 South Office - 3130 De La Cruz Blvd. #209, Santa Clara, CA 95054 Phone 408-282-2420

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Case No: 2011-0057

Defendant: BOONE, John Winston

Crime Type: 487(a) P.C.

His attached resume lists prior employment with HS Consortium, Inc.; GTE Internetworking (formerly Genuity); Wine.com (formerly WineBuyer.com); Cisco Systems; and Microsoft.

11/4/2011 Friday:

At 1215 hours, I phoned the Law Offices of Wilson, Sonsini, Goodrich and Rosati at 650 Page Mill Road, Palo Alto, CA 94304, phone 1833 200 and left a message for Leo Cunningham, the attorney representing John Boone in the case filed by Ricoh Innovations.

11/8/2011 Tuesday:

At 0920 hours, I left another message for Leo Cunningham, the attorney representing John Boone, asking that he contact me about this case.

11/22/2011 Tuesday:

At 0930 hours, I returned to work to find a voice message from James Blackman. Blackman identified himself as a defense attorney, representing suspect John Boone. I phoned Blackman, who told me that he was representing John Boone. He asked for a brief summary of the case, which I gave him. He then asked if there was good paper evidence against Boone and I said that, in my opinion, there was. He asked if I knew if Ricoh would be interested in discussing restitution or a monetary settlement. I said that I could find out. He agreed to call me early next week.

1/27/2012 Friday:

In a review of material provided by Ricoh, I discovered the following:

A legitimate GoGrid invoice #1139239, dated 5/5/2011, for \$1,497.98, from GoGrid LLC, showing an address of 360 Spear Street, Suite 200, San Francisco, CA 94105, phone 866-321-7284, fax 415-869-7001;

A forged GoGrid invoice #101141, dated 4/11/2011, for \$2,462.78, from GoGrid LLC, but showing an address of 2269 Chestnut Street, Suite 239, San Francisco, CA 94105, phone 415-869-7000, fax 415-358-8630;

Check 12942, dated 4/15/2011, for \$2,462.78, from Ricoh to GoGrid LLC at the 2269 Chestnut Street address in San Francisco, deposited into a Bank of America account on 4/20/2011:

Agent: TFA Nick Muyo #30

Page: 5 of 10

Date: 4/11/2012

04/25/2012 999106605



North Office ~ 1650 S. Amphlet Blvd. #213, Sau Mateo, CA 94402 Phone 650-655-1060 South Office - 3130 De La Cruz Blvd. #209, Santa Clara, CA 95054 Phone 408-282-2420

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Case No: 2011-0057

Defendant: BOONE, John Winston

Crime Type: 487(a) P.C.

A spreadsheet showing payment and invoice records provided to Ricoh by GoGrid, totaling \$16,487.77, between 4/12/2011 and 7/12/2011, and paid by Visa;

A spreadsheet showing payment and involce records from Ricoh to John Boone's address, totaling \$94,783.37, between 1/12/2011 and 7/28/2011, and paid by check;

The difference between the two spreadsheets (what GoGrid billed Ricoh and what Ricoh paid GoGrid through Boone) is \$78,295.60 (Boone's illegal profit).

Receipts from GoGrid show payments on the Ricoh account by a Visa credit card ending in the numbers 2349. (Search warrant returns would later confirm that Boone has a Visa card ending in 2349.)

I am preparing a search warrant for Bank of America account records for suspect John Boone.

1/31/2012 Tuesday:

At 0700 hours, I returned to work to find a voice message from Defense Attorney James Blackman, representing suspect John Boone.

I phoned Mr. Blackman later this morning. He explained that he had located a possible witness in this case and was hopeful that I could interview this witness.

At 1330 hours, I presented the completed search warrant and affidavit to Judge Michelle McKay McCoy. She signed the warrant.

At 1400 hours, I returned to the REACT offices and faxed the completed and signed search warrant to Bank of America's Custodian of Records.

At 1440 hours, I received a phone call from Bank of America, telling me that the search warrant needed to be personally served at any of their branches. It would then be forwarded to their Custodian of Records.

2/1/2012 Wednesday:

At 1000 hours, I drove to the Bank of America branch office at 2611 North First Street in San Jose. I personally served the search warrant to Branch Manager Rodriguez.

Rodriguez faxed the search warrant to their Legal Compliance Department and, before I left, confirmed for me that the fax had been received.

Agent: TFA Nick Muyo #30

Page: 6 of 10

Date: 4/11/2012

04/25/2012 000196706

North Office ~ 1650 S. Amphlet Blvd. #213, San Matco, CA 94402 Phone 650-655-1060 South Office ~ 3130 De La Cruz Blvd. #209, Santa Clara, CA 95054 Phone 408-282-2420

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Case No: 2011-0057

Defendant: BOONE, John Winston

Crime Type: 487(a) P.C.

2/14/2012 Tuesday:

At 0600 hours, I returned to work to find a FedEx package on my desk. I opened the package and discovered that it was the information from Bank of America requested via search warrant on 2/1/2012.

2/16/2012 Friday:

At 1100 hours, I left Defense Attorney James Blackman a phone message explaining that I was still waiting for his witness to contact me regarding this case.

2/21/2012 Tuesday:

At 0630 hours, I returned to work to find a voice message from Blackman. He explained that he was tied up in court on a homicide trial and was still attempting contact with his witness, who was out of town until Wednesday or Thursday.

3/16/2012 Friday:

At 1415 hours, I received a phone call from Mr. Blackman. He explained that his witness is the former Controller at Ricoh, a Mr. Weinblatt. He said that he has attempted contact with Weinblatt but finally decided to put me in contact with him. He said that I could reach Weinblatt at his new business number of

3/20/2012 Tuesday:

At 0825 hours, I phoned Mr. Weinblatt. I left a message asking that he call me as soon as possible.

At 1100 hours, I received a call from Weinblatt. I explained the nature of my call and he related the following:

Weinblatt is the former Controller for Ricoh. Although he did not hire Boone, he did work with him. He said that he started at Ricoh a couple of weeks after Boone and left the company in March 2011 (Boone wasn't terminated until August 2011). He said that Boone initiated the contract with GoGrid, along with Whitsett.

Weinblatt said that approximately three weeks ago, Boone called him and left him at least two voice messages, asking that Weinblatt call him. During the voice messages, Boone told

	Agent: TFA Nick Muyo #30	Page: 7 of 10	Date: 4/11/2012
--	--------------------------	---------------	-----------------



North Office ~ 1650 S. Amphlet Blvd. #213, San Mateo, CA 94402 Phone 650-655-1060 South Office - 3130 De La Cruz Blvd. #209, Sonta Clara, CA 95054 Phone 408-282-2420

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Case No: 2011-0057

Defendant: BOONE, John Winston

Crime Type: 487(a) P.C.

Weinblatt that he was no longer working at Ricoh. He said that he also received a voice message from Boone's attorney. Weinblatt said that he has not returned either message.

Weinblatt said that GoGrid provided cloud services and storage hosting for Ricoh. He said that he recalled that there was some kind of delay in getting GoGrid's first payment to them. He specifically recalled that Boone told Ricoh that he needed to get GoGrid paid and that Boone said that since Boone was visiting GoGrid in a couple of days, Ricoh should give the GoGrid payment to Boone, who would then deliver it to GoGrid.

I asked Weinblatt if there was any reason why Boone would either ask for or receive payment over and above what was asked for on the GoGrid invoices. He said, "No." He also confirmed that if Boone was asking for "consulting fees," any and all services and/or fees should have been articulated on a receipt (X-amount of dollars for hardware; X-amount of dollars for services; X-amount of dollars for consulting; etc.).

Weinblatt said that if Boone was receiving "consulting fees" while working for Ricoh, he believes that it would have been a violation of company policy.

3/23/2012 Friday:

At 0630 hours, a review of the Bank of America records and Ricoh and GoGrid documents revealed the following:

Bank of America records show that John Boone opened a business checking account as "Social Media, Inc.Org." doing business as "GoGrid.Org."

Bank of America records show that there are two charge cards associated to the account. One card is the control and the other is 2349.

GoGrid, through legitimate invoices, and Ricoh, through company checks issued to John Boone, identify 27 transactions between January 12, 2011 and July 14, 2011. These transactions identify \$16,487.77 paid to GoGrid and \$94,783.37 paid to John Boone, for a difference of \$78,295.60.

All of these transactions are confirmed through Bank of America records as a result of the search warrant executed earlier.

Hard copies of the transactions are included in this case file, as well as an attached spreadsheet for review.

Page: 8 of 10 Agent: TFA Nick Muyo #30 Date: 4/11/2012



North Office ~ 1650 S. Amphiet Blvd. #213, San Mateo, CA 94402 Phone 650-655-1060 South Office ~ 3130 De La Cruz Blvd. #209, Santa Clara, CA 95054 Phone 408-282-2420

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Case No. 2011-0057

Defendant: BOONE, John Winston

Crime Type: 487(a) P.C.

3/23/2012 Friday:

At 0755 hours, I attempted phone contact with Goodshaw at GoGrid. I left a message asking that he contact me regarding this case. Goodshaw is a contact identified by Bartz who might have knowledge of the contracts, if any, with John Boone.

4/3/2012 Tuesday:

At 0815 hours, I once again attempted phone contact with Goodshaw at GoGrid. It should be noted that when I attempted contact with Goodshaw on 3/23/2012, his name was in the company directory and I used the automated system to leave him a message. When I called back this moming, his name was NOT in the company directory. I left a message with Human Resources, asking for a call back.

4/10/2012 Tuesday:

At 0810 hours, I phoned GoGrid and contacted someone in the Customer Services department. "Debbie" confirmed for me that the Goodshaw is no longer with GoGrid, having left the company approximately 2-3 weeks ago. She gave me two names as contacts for the Ricoh account. Zaviaris.

At 0845 hours, I e-mailed both gentlemen, asking that they contact me about this case.

4/11/2012 Wednesday:

At 1256 hours, after conferring with their legal department and with manage Bartz at Ricoh, Tam e-mailed me copies of the contracts that GoGrid had with Ricoh-EWS (See attached). A review of those contracts revealed the following:

The first contract lists "two items." Page 1 expires on 2-21-2011 and was signed for on:2-7-2011 by Ricoh's Vice President of Operations. Whitsett. John Boone is listed on the contract as the "contact." The contract is for an 8-core dedicated server. Page 2 expires on 2/16/2011 and was also signed for on 2/7/2011 by Whitsett. This contract is for a firewall, cloud services, a server, data transfer plan, and 16 I.P. addresses.

Contract Two expires on 2/11/2011 and was signed for by John Boone on 2/2/2012. It, too, is for an 8-core dedicated server and lists John Boone as the point of contact.

Agent: TFA Nick Muyo #30	Page: 9 of 10	Date: 4/11/2012
Agent: TFA Nick Muyo #30		Date: 4/11/2012



North Office ~ 1650 S. Amphlet Blvd. #213, San Mateo, CA 94402 Phone 650-655-1060 South Office ~ 3130 De La Cruz Blvd. #209, Santa Clara, CA 95054 Phone 408-282-2420

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Case No: 2011-0057

Defendant: BOONE, John Winston

Crime Type: 487(a) P.C.

The third document expires on 1/15/2011 and was signed for by John Boone on 1/11/2011. It lists John Boone as the contact and is for a firewall, cloud services, a server, data transfer plan, and 16 l.P. addresses.

None of the documents list any services or contain any language showing that these contracts or services between GoGrid and Ricoh include any consulting services by either party or John Boone. All of the paperwork lists a GoGrid contact as Zavlaris, an account manager.

Conclusion:

I am referring this investigation to the Office of the District Attorney for review and consideration of filing criminal charges.

Attachments:

- #1 Letter from Ricoh's attorney, Friedlander to Boone's attorney, Leo Cunningham;
- #2 E-mail from Boone requesting approval for an attached GoGrid invoice #101141;
- #3 Copy of forged GoGrid invoice #101141, showing Boone's home address;
- #4 Copy of check #12942, from Ricoh to GoGrid, showing Boone's home address;
- #5 Copy of an actual GoGrid invoice #1139239, showing the correct business address, phone and fax numbers:
- #6 Spreadsheet showing payments to "GoGrid" at Boone's home address;
- #7 Spreadsheet showing payments to "GoGrid" by Visa charge card; #8 (4) pages of GoGrid contracts, provided by Tam of GoGrid.

Page: 10 of 10 Date: 4/11/2012 Agent: TFA Nick Muyo #30



North Office ~ 1650 S. Amphlet Blvd. #213, San Mateo, CA 94402 Phone 650-655-1050 South Office ~ 3130 De La Cruz Blvd. #209, Santa Clara, CA 95054 Phone 408-282-2420

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Case No: 2011-0057

Defendant: BOONE, John Winston

Crime Type: 487(a) P.C.

Suspect:

BOONE, John Winston

Sausalito, CA, 94965 BMA, 6-0, 240, bm-bm

DOB: CDL:

Summary:

During 2011, suspect John Boone, while employed at Ricoh, intercepted legitimate invoices from a company (GoGrid) doing business with Ricoh, replaced them with forged invoices, deposited monies paid by Ricoh for the forged invoices into his Bank of America account, paid the legitimate invoices with his own credit card, and retained the difference.

Investigation:

4/18/2012 Wednesday:

l asked Task Force Agent Marshall Norton to deliver the complaint to Deputy D.A. Jennifer Deng this afternoon.

4/19/2012 Thursday:

At 0933 hours, I received an e-mail from DDA Deng. She asked that I contact Zavlaris and Tam of GoGrid to get statements from them to confirm that John Boone was not contracting for them; did not have permission to use their company name as a DBA ("doing business as") and was not authorized to receive any money on GoGrid's behalf.

4/20/2012 Friday:

On Friday afternoon, in separate phone conversations, I spoke to Tam and Zaviaris. Both gentlemen confirmed that John Boone was contracting for Ricch EWS and not GoGrid. They both also said that John Boone did not have permission to use GoGrid's company name, to their knowledge. Zaviaris, during our conversation, also gave me the

Agent: TFA Nick Muyo #30 Page: 1 of 2 Date: 4/24/2012



North Office ~ 1650 S. Amphlet Blvd. #213, San Matco, CA 94402 Phone 650-655-1060 South Office ~ 3130 De La Cruz Blvd. #209, Santa Clara, CA 95054 Phone 408-282-2420

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Case No: 2011-0057

Defendant: BOONE, John Winston

Crime Type: 487(a) P.C.

name of GoGrid company employee, Pliggins. Higgins works in Accounts Receivable and would have worked exclusively with John Boone.

4/24/2012 Tuesday:

At 0800 hours, I received a phone call from higgins. Higgins explained that, although he did not set up the original contract with Boone or Ricoh, it was his assignment to work with Boone to get GoGrid paid. He confirmed that, to his knowledge, Boone did not have permission to use GoGrid's company name as a "DBA."

While on the phone with him, he also pulled up invoices that he had access to between Ricoh and GoGrid. He said that all of the invoices he reviewed had the address of 2077 Gateway Place, San Jose. I explained to him that Gateway Place was Ricoh's business address. He seemed confused when I mentioned that I had GoGrid invoices with a Chestnut address in San Francisco (apparently Boone's home address) and said that there should have been no reason for any GoGrid invoices to have any other address except their business address of 360 Spear, San Francisco.

Conclusion:

Agent: TFA Nick Muyo #30 Page: 2 of 2 Date: 4/24/2012

12 Classen 22122 Or 4 Of AC1 44 Cs D2/Ms & Dozument 28-1 - Fibro du 07/02/13 Page 31 of 46 Page 1200 #41 413

	Payment to GoGrid				1000年の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の				ATO TO THE PARTY OF THE PARTY O									THE PERSON NAMED OF PERSON NAMED IN	199.98	Selection of the select	400.00							2 907 00	DE LEGIO		TO TO THE PERSON OF THE PERSON			3 807 OB		dy Cultural Commence of the Co
	Note	i. I		1250.00 Per Ricoh-ElWS				STATE OF THE STATE	2462.78 Per Ricoh-EWS			1		2662.78 Per Ricoh-EWS	(A 45.00)			3	er Goldrid Records S		400.00 Per GoGrid Records S		_		_	21.50	-	er GoGrid Records \$	- 12	ROWSON BENGOLGHOUS CONTRACTOR	1160.13 Per Ricoh-EWS	30(8)(0)(134(44)(2)(2)(3)(3)(4)(4)(4)(4)(4)(4)(4)(4)(4)(4)(4)(4)(4)	3897.98 Per Ricoh-EWS	3897.98 Per GoGrid Records \$	欕	
S. Comp.	ATTOUR	1		1250.00 F	A COMPOSITE	OKSA 70 F	07:10		2462.78 F		107.0070	2402,1017	TANK BUCK	2662.78 F	3 10/200	9934 78 F	THE PROPERTY OF	100.000	138.861		400.00 F	4403403	400,00 F		900.00 F		3897.98	3897.98		RSSIESSIE	1160.13 F	100,000	3897.98 F	3897.98		
Paid via		District the State of	4451Check 1254Kin Kacala Lite Day	Salura and All Doories address		Sec		141 Check 19049 to Cocket State B	Section will boune address		:007 Check 13122 to GoGrid with Ronna's addrags		Charly 49949 to C. C. S.	The State 19319 to Good with Boone's address.		Check 20043 to GoGrid with Boone's address		Pald via Visa card	The state of the s	Paid via Vies cord		15.4 Dold in 15th Land		Dold in Co.	and via Visa Card							Chot 40500 - 0	Sonia 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		MANAGED TO THE PROPERTY OF THE	
frvoice						10004					100	Œ.	Ę	110			700	1067147				1067		100850R			1100001	200		1434004	ты	11.4				
Date			2/10/2011		2/B/D044	かかたい		4/15/2011		7,700,071	113/2011		6/7/2011		710810044	The state of the s					Simple of the			5/4/2011	THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE	6/29/2014	7/8/Dh11	THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY OF T		RP9/2011		6/29/2011	7/12/2011			
Event			7		4	17.1		တ			0		40		3	13		14				18		ສ		22		ŝ		27		26		100 m		

Case 2:12-cr-01014-ODW Document 23-1 Filed 07/02/13 Page 32 of 46 Page ID #:149

EXHIBIT 5

Jun 26 12 04:31p

LAW OFFICES

Case 2:12-cr-01014-ODW Document 23-1 Filed 07/02/13 Page 33 of 46 Page ID #:150 (650) 617-6888

Law Offices of

JAMES W. BLACKMAN A Professional Corporation 2479 East Bayshore Road, Suite 703 Palo Alto, CA 94303 Phone (650) 843-1000 Fax (650) 617-6888

June 26, 2012

Via Facsimile Transmission:

Hung Ledang Investigator Santa Clara County District Attorney's Office

> Re: John Boone

Dear Investigator Ledang:

This will follow-up on our recent telephone conversation respecting Mr. Boone.

Enclosed herewith please find various documents which we believe are significant. One set of document shows that the amounts paid by Ricoh and deposited in Mr. Boone's Bank of America account involved payments through PayPal to the various subcontractors providing services pursuant to the subcontract agreement.

The second set of document shows that the subcontracting agreement was authorized by individuals who were in the management of Ricoh at the time the arrangements were made.

I stand ready to review this matter with you or whoever has authority.

Thank you very much.

Very truly yours,

JAMES W. BLACKMAN

08/29/2012 000000439

Case 2:12-cr-01014-ODW Document 23-1 Filed 07/02/13 Page 34 of 46 Page ID #:151

Jun 26 12 04:31p

LAW OFFICES

(650) 617-6988

p.2

4/16/12 APR. 16. 2012 5:25PM

CA TRAVEL History - PayPal

0

NO. 6073 P. 2

All activity - Mar 17, 2010 to Apr 16, 2012

Dalo 'S	Type	Namo/Email	Payment status	Order status/Actions	Gross	Fee	Net emount
Aug 19, 2011	Payment From	EVO Media Greup, Inc.	Completed		\$43.71	-\$ 1.57	\$42,14 USD
Dec 8, 2010 2	, Bill From	Horizon Technologies Inc	Pending		\$360.00	\$0.00	\$360,00 USD
Dec 8, 2010 🛴	Bill From	Honzon Technologies Inc	Pending		\$800.00	\$0.00	\$600.00 USD
Nov 16, 2010 🏅	j Bill From	Symet Technology Solutions Inc	Pending	***************************************	\$900.00	\$0.00	\$900.00 USD
Oct 2, 2010	Dividend From	PayPal - Money Market	Completed		\$0.01	\$0.00	\$0.01 USD
Sep 10, 2010	Debit Card ATM Withdrawal From	HAMILTON-GATE, NOVATO, CA	Completed		583.00	\$1,00	-\$84.00 USD
Sep 2, 2010	Debit Card ATM Withdrawal From	Greenbrae, Greenbrae, Ca	Completed		-\$6 3.00	\$ 1.00	\$64.00 USD
Sep 2, 2010	Payment From	NorGal Supreme	Completed		\$60.00	\$0.00	\$60.00 USD
Sep 1, 2010	Dividend From	PayPal - Money Market	Completed		\$0.01	\$0.00	\$0.01 USD
Aug 31, 2010	Debit Card ATM Withdrawai From	HAMILTON-GATE, NOVATO, CA	Completed		4203.00	-\$1,00	\$204.00 USD
Aug 28, 7010	Bill From	LewisByrd	Pald		\$784.00	\$0.00	\$784.00 USD
Aug 25, 2010	Payment To	BoonEx	Completed		-\$299.00	\$0.00	4299.00 USD
Aug 25, 2010	Payment For	NorCal Supreme	Completed		-\$340.00	\$0.00	\$340.00 USD
Aug 25, 2010	Payment For	HS Consortium, Inc	· Completed		-\$300.00	\$0.00	4300.00 USD
Aug 25, 2010 🛴	Payment From	Greg Johnson	Completed	***************************************	\$1,250.00	-\$ 38.85	\$1,213.45 USD
Aug 25, 2010 ,	Bill To	graß@dreatball.com	Pald		\$1,250.00	\$0.00	\$1,250.00 USD
Aug 25, 2010	Bill To	greg@orbosports.com	Pending	1 + 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	\$1,250.00	\$0.00	\$1,250.00 USD
Jun 30, 2010 4	Bill From	Sympt Tachnology Solutions inc	Paid	n, sier om state en skelepter festiget stime	\$300,00	\$0.00	\$300.00 USD
May 1, 2010	Dividend From	PayPal - Money Merket	Completed		\$0,01	\$0.00	\$0.01 USD
Apr 23, 2010	Debit Card ATM Withdrawal From	CORTE/MADERA, CORTE MADERA, GA	Completed		\$ 153.00	-\$1.00	-5184.00 USD

Case 2:12-cr-01014-ODW Document 23-1 Filed 07/02/13 Page 35 of 46 Page ID #:152 Jun 26 12 04:31p LAW OFFICES

(650) 617-6888

р.3

4/16/12 APR. 16. 2012 5:26PM

TRAVEL History - PayPal

NO. 6073 P. 3

All activity - Mar 17, 2010 to Apr 16, 2012

Date	Ja	Туро	Name/Email	Payment status	Order status/Actions	Gross	Fee	Net amount
Mar 1, 2011		Payment To	LuvPoint	Completed		-\$57.00	\$0.00	-\$57.00 USD
Feb 27, 2011		Payment To	Pavel Clorici	Completed		-\$69,00	\$0.00	-\$69.00 USD
Feb 15, 2011	#1== 21 ₆ +	Bill To	Reginald Balley	Pending		\$2,500.0	\$0.00	\$2,500.00 USC
Feb 18, 2011	#+# #+#	Bill To	Reginald Balloy	Pending		\$2,500.01	00.02	\$2,500.00 USD
Sep 1, 2010		Debit Card ATM Withdrawal From	san Rafael MN, Ban Rafael, Ca	Completed		-\$63.00	-\$1.00	-\$ 84,00 U\$D
Aug 31, 2010		Dabii Card ATM Withdrawal From	HAMILTON-GATE, NOVATO CA	Completed	***************************************	\$203.00	-\$1,00	-\$204.00 USD
Aug 31, 2010		Payment To	Cogzidel Technologies Pvt L(d	Completed		\$29.00	\$0.00	-\$20.00 USD
Aug 25, 2010		Payment From	Greetidess LLC	Completed		\$300.00	\$0,00	\$300.00 USD
Jun 9, 2010		Payment To	NorCal Supreme	Completed		-\$500.00	\$0.00	-\$500.00 USD
Jun 9, 2010		BIII From	NorCal Supreme	Pald		\$500,00	\$0.00	\$500.00 USD
Jun 9, 2010		Payment From	Double Pump, Inc.	Completed.		\$500.00	-\$14.80	\$485.20 USD
Vay 27, 2010		Debit Card Signature Purchase To	BIZBUYSELL 415-2844380 CA	Completed		-\$159,92	50.00	-\$159.92 USD
MBy 25, 2010		Payment From	NorCal Supreme	Completed		\$150.00	\$ 4.65	\$145.35 USD
May 25, 2010		Bill To	NorCal Supreme	Paid		\$150.00	\$0.00	\$150.00 USD
May 12, 2010		Debit Card ATM Withdrawal From	HAMILTON-GATE, NOVATO, CA	Completed		-\$143.00	\$1,00	\$144.00 USD
pr 16, 2010	1/_	Bill To	Russell Kramer	Pending		\$5,400.00	\$0,00	\$5,400.00 USD
pr 15, 2010	Mag.	Bill To	denise8de@holmail.com	Pending	**************************************	\$4,000.00	\$0.00	54,000.00 USD
tar 25, 2010		Debit Card Signature Purchase To	BIZBUYBELL 415-2844380 CA	Completed		-\$199.90	\$0.00	.\$199,80 USD
fer 23, 2010		Debit Card ATM Withdrawal From	HAMILTON-GATE NOVATO	Completed		\$203.00	\$1.00	\$204.00 USD
lar 18. 2010	ı	Payment To	Jettmpex. Inc	Completed		-\$85.00	\$0.00	\$65.00 USD

Case 2:12-cr-01014-ODW Document 23-1 Filed 07/02/13 Page 36 of 46 Page ID #:153 (650) 617-6888 P.4

4/18/12 APR. 16. 2012 5:27PM

TRAVEL History - PayPal

NO. 6073 P. 4

All activity - Mar 17, 2010 to Apr 16, 2012

Date	7,5	Type	Name/Email	Payment stetus	Order status/Actions	Gross	Fee	Not amount
Sep 2, 2010		Payment For	Greatideas LLC	Completed		-360.00		\$60.00 USD
Sap 2, 2010	1	Bill To	Lowis Byrd	Canceled		\$175,00	\$0.00	\$175.00 USD
Aug 25, 2010	~	Payment For	Jason Montana	Completed		-5300.00		\$300.00 USD
Aug 25, 2010		Payment From	Greatideas LLC	Completed	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	\$340.00	·	\$340,00 USD
Jun 9, 2010		Transfer To	Bank Account	Completed	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	\$550.00	\$0.00	-\$550.00 USD
Jun 9, 2010	4 1	Bill To	Jim_McGuigan@capgmup.com	Pending	****	\$400.00		\$400.00 USD
Jun 9, 2010		Payment From	HS Consortium, Inc	Completed		\$500.00		\$488.70 USD
Jun 8, 2010		Bill To	HS Consortium, Inc	Pald		\$500.00	\$0.00	\$500.00 USD
Jun 1, 2010		Transfer To	Bank Account	Completed		-\$700.00		-\$700.00 USD
lun 1, 2010		Payment From	Michelle Brewer	Completed	- Company of the Comp	\$800.00		\$782.10 USD
day 31, 2010	i pi	Bill To	Michelle Brewer	Peid		\$800,00		\$600.00 USD
Aey 28, 2010		Transfer To	Bank Account	Completed		\$400.00	***************************************	-\$400.00 USD
fay 28, 2010		Payment From	kovin daly	Completed			-	\$87.50 USD
fay 27, 2010	ا ت	Bill To	kevin daly	Paid		-		\$100.00 USD
lay 25, 2010		Payment From	Dennis Jayce	Completed	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	****		\$97.50 USD
lay 26, 2010	7	Payment From	Michael Johnson	Completed				\$97.50 USD
ay 25, 2010	i i	ill To		Paid				\$100.00 USD
ay 25, 2010	F	aymen! To		Completed		\$150.00		\$150.00 USD
ay 25, 2010			1	Pald		-		\$150.00 USD
ay 21, 2010	F	ayment From		Completed		100.00		97.50 USD

Case 2:12-cr-91014-ODW Document 23-1 Filed 07/02/13 Page 37 of 46 Page ID #:154 p.5 Sent By: .; Jun-20-12-4:08PM: Page 1 John Boone Subject: Copy: Call to deve further into the Ricoh Innovations solution Conference Bridge 488.296.6828 -- Participant Code - 607324# Location: Start: Thu 12/30/2010 10:00 AM End: Thu 12/30/2010 11:00 AM Show Time As: **Tentative** Recurrence: (none) Meeting Status: Not yet responded Organizer: Hi John, Here is our proposed agenda for the call: - Quick introductions - Our GTO, Ion Greaves will do joining the call. - We've caught longup to speed with the your solution, as we understand it, thus far. Please let us know if we've missed anything.
You've created an application to run on tiplet PCs to be used at hospitals and doctor's offices for the medical staff to input patient information. The tablet PCs will connect back to this environment via https to upload that date into this hosted component (HIPAA).
Therefore, IO is critical in quarying the DB for the data and during the anticipated thousands of concurrent connections. You're in need of a hybrid model of cloud type yms for the web front end and dedicated db servers on the backend. HA chyronment needed and, in the literal future, another site as DR (HOT). Along with this design, you would like the stand up Engineering. Staging and Q&A environments, as well. Discuss how Carpethia's model would fit Ricoh ingevation's Hosting and Compliance needs. A Carpathia solution visio will be provided just prior to the call. Would this gel withlyour current project goals? Timeline expectations? Hi John. After talking about your solution with Dao, I think would make a lot of sense to introduce ou to for Greaves, our CTO, wild built our cloud platform. He would tring a lot of mindshare to the call, as he was the Chief Technologist at Sun Microsystems for a few wars just before coming to Carpathia and was the CTO at Sevenspace for 4.5 years before they were bought by Sun. He's also well versed in hosting collipliance, thus aiding in the HIPAA discussion. This way you two could talk amop in reference to your solution design.

Case 2:12-cr-01014-ODW Document 67-2 Filed 11/05/14 Page 51 of 55 Page ID #:689 Case 2:12-cr-01014-ODW Document 23-1 Filed 07/02/13 Page 38 of 46 Jun 26 12 04:32p LAW OFFICES (650) 617-6888 Page ID #:155 Jun-20-12-4:09PM; Sent By: .; +++++; Page 2/6 With that said, Thursday would be perfect for the call, however, an hour call at 1pm or 4pm 4ST would work well for the direction Would either of those times work for your I will send out an agenda for the call and any questions we may already have prior to COB today. All the best, Campos Account Executive bcampos@carpathiacom 21000 Atlantic Blvd. Solte 500 Dulles, VA 20166 Voice the state of Mobile www.carpathia.com This message is for the designated recipient only in may contain privileged, proprietary, or otherwise confidential hipmation. If you have received it in error please notify the sender immediately and delete the original. Any other use of the email by you is prohibited.

**************************************	Jun-20-12 :09PM;	Page 3/8
	13	t .
		•
GoGrid onsite mesting San Jose Office		
Wed 12/29/2010 9:30 AM Wed 12/29/2010 1:30 PM		
(none)		
i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i		
\$		
		:
		•
		: :
asnboard		
		•
		1 1 - 1
		a a constant
		:
		<i>:</i>
		: :
		• •
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Wed 12/29/2010 9:30 AM Wed 12/29/2010 1:30 PM (none)	Wed 12/29/2010 3:30 PM (none)

-cr-01014-ODW Document 67-2 Page 55 of 55 Page ID #:693 Case 2:12-cr-01014-ODW Document 23-1 Filed 07/02/13 Page 42 of 46 (650) 617-6888 Page ID #:159 **p.10** Jun-20-1 4:10PM; Sent By: .; Page 6/6 From: Whitsett [mailto:whitsett@rii.itooh.com]
Sent: Morday December 6, 2010 9:04 Air
To: John Sopne [mailto:jboone@rii.ricoh.gom] Cc: Subject: GGRID SUPPORT John As agreed in the last DC selection meeting, we do not have the resources in house to manage the entire cloud infrastruction as such, the Gogrid consulting pation is approved. We still need an option to manage the online infrastruction 24/7. Let's review your hiring plan during staff meeting next week. I will be traveling back to Asia for three week and let's move quickly. Whitsett Ricoh-EPT Surector of Operations 2077 Gateway Place, Suite 200 San Jose, CA 95110